

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/578,604	SONOBE, TAKEO	

Examiner	Art Unit	
CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ	2123	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ (Examiner). (3) _____.
 (2) TOMOKO NAKAJIMA (Applicant's Representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 12-21

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Please see attached Examiner's Amendment. Claims 1, 18 and 19 were amended in order to address 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph issues and claims 13 and 20 were amended in order to incorporate limitations addressing the Weather aspect of the invention.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

